



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	•
	10/083,302 02/27/2002 23373 7590 12/15/2004		Masanori Taketsugu	Q68714	5539	
			4	EXAM	EXAMINER	
		MION, PLLC	NW	TRAN, T	TRAN, TUAN A	
	SUITE 800	YLVANIA AVENU	z, N.W.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		ON, DC 20037		2682		•

DATE MAILED: 12/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Occurrence	10/083,302	TAKETSUGU, MASANORI			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Tuan A Tran	2682			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 Fe	bruary 2002.				
	action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan	ce except for formal matters, pro	secution as to the merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3</u> is/are rejected. 7) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>4-6</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	·.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acce	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the o		` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex-	- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	• •			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) △ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) △ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. △ Certified copies of the priority documents 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	have been received. have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage			
·					
Attachment(s)					
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)	te atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/083,302

Art Unit: 2682

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

 Claim 4 recites the limitations "the first threshold value" and "the second threshold value" in claim. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Application/Control Number: 10/083,302

Art Unit: 2682

2. Claims 1-3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Uebayashi et al. (2003/0198205).

Regarding claim 1, Uebayashi discloses a mobile communication system (See fig. 1) comprising: a mobile terminal 101-105, 111-113 capable of designating a communication quality (high speed communications) in requesting communication; a radio base station 121 connected to the mobile terminal 101-105, 111-113 through a radio channel; a radio network controller 412 connected to the radio base station 121 to control the communication quality between the mobile station 101-105, 111-113 and the radio base station 121, wherein the radio network controller 412 comprises a communication request reception determination unit, upon receiving a communication request which designates the communication quality from the mobile terminal, determining whether the received communication request is to be received, on the basic of a communication quality (low speed communications) provided to communication which requests without communication quality (See figs. 1-4 and page 2 [0028-0032], page 3 [0033-0036]).

Regarding claims 2-3, Uebayashi discloses as cited in claim 1. Uebayashi further discloses the communication request reception determination unit further comprises: inquiry means for, upon receiving the communication request which designates the communication quality, inquiring of a communication quality measurement unit of the communication quality n (low speed communication or Q as cited in the instant application) provided to communication which requests without communication quality, and the communication quality measurement unit measures the

Application/Control Number: 10/083,302 Page 4

Art Unit: 2682

communication quality n and outputs the communication quality (See fig. 6 and page 3 [0042-0045]); a comparison means for, upon receiving the communication request which designates the communication quality, comparing the measured communication quality n with a predetermined threshold value n_{max}; bandwidth setting means for resetting an allowable communication bandwidth on the basic of a comparison result from the comparison means; and determination means for determining whether the communication request is to be received, on the basic of a bandwidth required by the received communication request and the allowable communication bandwidth reset by the bandwidth setting means (See figs. 5-6 and page 3 [0041-0045]).

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Chuah (6,377,548); Zicker et al. (5,832,378); Dokko (2001/0004599);
Downing et al. (6,373,855); Hluchyj et al. (5,432,790); Hayano et al. (5,132,966); Turcotte et al. (6,181,684); Marin et al. (6,222,824);
Kawakami et al. (6,560,231); Natarajan (5,826,169); Cave (6,631,269);
Shoji et al. (2003/0003960); Cave (2004/0033807); Olofsson et al. (6,647,265); Oliva (6,459,681); Beming et al. (5,740,537); Yin et al. (5,982,748).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 4-6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Regarding claims 4-6, Uebayashi discloses as cited in claim 2. However, Uebayashi does not mention that when the measured communication quality Q is higher than the first threshold QH, the bandwidth setting means increases the allowable communication bandwidth by a first predetermined value to reset a new allowable communication bandwidth, and when the measured communication quality Q is lower than the second threshold QL (QL < QH), the bandwidth setting means decreases the allowable communication bandwidth by a second predetermined value to reset a new allowable communication bandwidth.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Tuan Tran** whose telephone number is **(703) 605-4255**.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, **Vivian Chin**, can be reached at **(703) 308-6739**.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

Art Unit: 2682

(703) 872-9314 (for Technology Center 2600 only)

Hand-delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Park II, 2121 Crystal Drive, Arlington, VA, Sixth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Technology Center 2600 Customer Service Office whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Tuan Tran

AU 2682

LEE NGUYEN